

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/726,713	SEIBEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles G. Freay	3746	

All Participants:

(1) Charles G. Freay.

(2) Michael Schmidt.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 February 2006

Time: 10:00 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection

Claims discussed:

100, 118, 137 and 157

Prior art documents discussed:

USPN 6,419,457 Seibel et al

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner notedc that as amended there was potential double patenting rejection with respect to Seibel et al. The applicant agreed to file a terminal disclaimer to obviate this rejection

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)